This meeting will proceed as a teleconference meeting in compliance with waivers to certain provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act provided for under California Government Code section 54953(e)(1)(A) in relation to the Covid-19 state of emergency and recommended social distancing measures. There will be no location for in-person attendance. The Orange County Power Authority is providing alternatives to in-person attendance for viewing and participating in the meeting. Further details are below.

Note: Any member of the public may provide comments to the Orange County Power Authority Board of Directors on any agenda item or on a matter not appearing on the agenda, but within the jurisdiction of the Board. Please indicate whether your comment is on a specific agenda item or a non-agenda item when requesting to speak. When providing comments to the Board, it is requested that you provide your name and city of residence for the record. Commenters are requested to address their comments to the Board as a whole through the Chair. Comments may be provided in the following manner:

To provide comments during the meeting, join the Zoom meeting by computer, mobile phone, or dial-in number. Before or during each agenda item and non-agenda Public Comment, the Chair or Clerk will ask members of the public to join the queue to provide public comment. The queue will remain open for a reasonable amount of time to allow members of the public sufficient time to request to speak and inform the Board of the number of speakers on the agenda item. After such time, the queue will be closed and the members of the public who have joined the queue to speak will be recognized at the appropriate time may speak through Zoom video conference or telephonically. To join the queue on Zoom video conference by computer or mobile phone, use the “Raise Hand” feature. If joining the meeting using the Zoom dial-in number, you can raise your hand and join the queue by pressing *9. Members of the public will not be shown on video but will be able to speak when called upon.

Comments shall generally be limited to three minutes when speaking, provided that the Chair may equally reduce each speaker’s time to accommodate a large number of speakers or a large number of agenda items. If you have anything that you wish to be distributed to the Board, please provide it via comments@ocpower.org, who will distribute the information to the Members.

The public may participate using the following remote options:

ZOOM MEETING

You are invited to a Zoom webinar.

Please click the link below to join the webinar:

Launch Meeting - Zoom

Dial-in: 1-669-900-6833

Webinar ID: 889 1374 9091
1. **CALL TO ORDER**

2. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

3. **ROLL CALL**

4. **CONSENT CALENDAR**
   All items listed under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine and may be enacted by one motion. Prior to the motion to consider any action by the Board of Directors, any public comments on any of the Consent Items will be heard. There will be no separate action unless members of the Board of Directors request specific items be removed from the Consent Calendar.

1. **MINUTES FOR THE REGULAR BOARD MEETING OF JANUARY 11, 2022**
   
   **Recommended Action:**
   Approve as submitted.

2. **RESOLUTION MAKING FINDINGS NECESSARY FOR REMOTE PARTICIPATION IN PUBLIC MEETINGS**
   
   **Recommended Action:**
   Adopt Resolution No. 2022-02 a Resolution of the Orange County Board of Directors Making Findings Necessary for Remote Participation in Public Meetings.

3. **QUARTERLY COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE UPDATE**
   
   **Recommended Action:**
   Receive and File.

4. **ENERGY REGULATORY UPDATE**
   
   **Recommended Action:**
   Receive and File.

5. **FINANCIAL UPDATE – QUARTER END DECEMBER 31, 2021**
   
   **Recommended Action:**
   Receive and File.
5. **REGULAR CALENDAR**

   The following items call for discussion or action by the Board of Directors. The Board may discuss and/or take action on any item listed below if the Board is so inclined.

1. **AUTHORIZE OCPA TO JOIN CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY CHOICE ASSOCIATION (CALCCA) AS AN OPERATIONAL MEMBER**

   **Recommended Action:**
   
   Authorize OCPA to join California Community Choice Association (CalCCA) as an operational member.

6. **DIRECTOR COMMENTS**

   Board Members may briefly provide information to other members of the Board and the public, ask questions of staff, request an item to be placed on a future agenda, or report on conferences, events, or activities related to Authority business. There is to be no discussion or action taken on comments made by Board Members unless authorized by law.

7. **STAFF REPORT**

   Staff may briefly provide information to the Board and the public. The Board may engage in discussion if the specific subject matter of the report is identified, but the Board may not take any action other than to place the matter on a future agenda. Otherwise, there is to be no discussion or action taken unless authorized by law.

8. **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

   Opportunity for members of the public to address the Board on any items not on the agenda but within the jurisdiction of the Board.

9. **ADJOURNMENT**

   **Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act**

   Board of Directors meetings comply with the protections and prohibitions of the Americans with Disabilities Act. Individuals with a disability who require a modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, in order to participate in the public meeting may contact 949-263-2612. Requests for disability-related modifications or accommodations require different lead times and should be provided at least 72-hours in advance of the public meeting.

   **Availability of Board Documents**

   Copies of the agenda and agenda packet are available at [www.ocpower.org](http://www.ocpower.org). Late-arriving documents related to a Board meeting item which are distributed to a majority of the Board prior to or during the Board meeting are available for public review as required by law. Late-arriving documents received during the meeting are available for review by making a verbal request to the Board Secretary in the Zoom meeting room.
1. CALL TO ORDER
Chair Carroll called to order the regular meeting of the Orange County Power Authority Board of Directors at 12:01 p.m. on Tuesday, January 11, 2022.

The meeting was conducted utilizing teleconference and electronic means consistent with public health orders and guidelines in California and in accordance with the Governor's Executive Orders. There was no location for in-person attendance. Due to the nature of the teleconference, all votes were cast via roll call. In an effort to protect public health, members of the public were able to provide live comments on agenda items using Zoom.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
Chair Carroll led the Pledge of Allegiance

3. ROLL CALL
Present:    Director Farrah N. Khan    City of Irvine  
Director Mike Posey    City of Huntington Beach  
Director Susan Sonne    City of Buena Park  
Director Don Wagner    Orange County  
Vice Chair Fred Jung    City of Fullerton  
Chair Mike Carroll    City of Irvine  

4. CONSENT CALENDAR

4.1. MINUTES FOR THE REGULAR BOARD MEETING OF DECEMBER 14, 2021

Recommended Action:
Approve as submitted.

4.2. MINUTES FOR THE SPECIAL BOARD MEETING OF DECEMBER 21, 2021

Recommended Action:
Approve as submitted.

4.3. APPROVAL OF FORM MASTER POWER PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT

Recommended Action:
Adopt Resolution No. 2022-01 a Resolution of the Board of Directors of Orange County Power Authority Approving Form Master Power Purchase and Sale Agreement, and
Delegating Authority to the Chief Executive Officer to Execute Master Agreements in Substantially Similar Form as Approved to Form by General Counsel.

4.4. AUTHORIZE INCLUSION OF EXECUTIVE EMPLOYEES IN PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED OCPA PERSONNEL POLICIES MANUAL AND OCPA EMPLOYEE BENEFITS PROGRAM

Recommended Action:
Authorize inclusion of executive employees in previously adopted OCPA Personnel Policies Manual and Employee Benefits Program.

Doug Elliott spoke during public comments about the personnel policies and compensation package.

Director Sonne pulled this item from the Consent Calendar.

ACTION
Director Posey made a motion, seconded by Vice Chair Jung:
To adopt the recommended motions on Consent Calendar items 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3. The motion carried as follows:

Ayes: Directors Khan, Sonne, Carroll, Jung, Posey
Nays: None
Absent: Director Wagner

Director Sonne asked questions about the auto stipend.

Ryan Baron, General Counsel, gave an oral report on the benefits package as required by the Government Code.

ACTION
Director Sonne made a motion, seconded by Director Posey, and unanimously carried:
To authorize inclusion of executive employees in previously adopted OCPA Personnel Policies Manual and Employee Benefits Program.

5. REGULAR CALENDAR

5.1. ADOPT THE FINANCIAL AUDIT REPORT FOR THE FISCAL PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 FROM PISENTI & BRINKER, LLP

Brett Bradford, Pisenti and Brinker, spoke of the independent audit process. He reported the audit received an unmodified opinion meaning that the OCPA financial statements appear to be materially accurate. Pisenti and Brinker did not identify any significant deficiencies in internal control.

Jenna Blanchard of Pisenti and Brinker reported on the focus areas of the audit process.
ACTION
Director Wagner made a motion, seconded by Vice Chair Jung, and unanimously carried:

To adopt OCPA Year-End Financial Statements and Independent Auditor’s Report for the fiscal period ended June 30, 2021 from Pisenti & Brinker, LLP.

5.2. ADOPT RESOLUTION APPROVING INITIAL OCPA RATE DESIGN; AUTHORIZE OCPA BASIC RATES ADJUSTMENTS EFFECTIVE APRIL 2022; APPROVE A NET SURPLUS COMPENSATION RATE FOR NET ENERGY METERING CUSTOMERS; APPROVE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF MONTHLY BILLING FOR ALL NET ENERGY METERING CUSTOMERS; APPROVE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF ANNUAL TRUE-UP PERIOD TO APRIL FOR ALL NET ENERGY METERING CUSTOMERS

Tiffany Law, Chief Financial Officer, presented the item and explained the rate structure.

Kirby Dusel of Pacific Energy Advisors displayed a hypothetical power content label for the three service options and spoke to the importance of customers understanding what they are getting.

Max Bernt, New Gen Strategies, said that rate making is fundamentally composed of two components, the strategies and the principles. He presented slides on estimated expenditures and the determination of the annual revenue requirement of $287.8 million.

Brian Dickman of Next Gen Strategies presented slides showing the average energy rates for the three rate scenarios and a table comparing those scenarios to SCE’s residential rate

May Bernt explained NEM, net energy metering, as it relates to customers who provide solar energy and net surplus compensation (NSC), and their fiscal impact on OCPA.

CFO Law and Kirby Dusel answered questions about the estimated NSC cost of $45,000 per year and potential rate adjustments as members choose their default service level.

Danny Gray of the Orange County chapter of the Climate Reality Project spoke about the rate scenarios.

Linda Kramer spoke about the power content label, hydroelectric and geothermal power, and thanked the staff and the Board.

Ann Craciun spoke about portfolio choices, clean energy, and rooftop solar.

Senait Forthal spoke about the quality of the rate presentation and net energy metering for solar customers.

Staff and the consultants answered questions of the Directors about future rate adjustments by SCE and OCPA, and the process for member agencies to choose a default rate.

ACTION
Director Posey made a motion, seconded by Director Sonne and unanimously carried to:

1. Approve initial OCPA rates contained in Attachment A (Scenario 3) such that OCPA’s Basic Choice rates are set at parity to Southern California Edison (“SCE”) rates and all OCPA member agencies will elect Smart Choice as the default service offering.

2. Authorize staff to adjust OCPA Basic Choice rates contained in Attachment A (Scenario 3) as soon as practicable after the SCE March 2022 rate change to maintain rate parity for OCPA Basic Choice customers effective April 2022. Staff shall place a report on the agenda of the next regular board meeting explaining the adjustment and requesting that the board ratify the adjusted initial OCPA rates.

3. Approve a Net Surplus Compensation (“NSC”) rate for Net Energy Metering (“NEM”) customers at 10% above SCE’s NSC rate.

4. Approve the establishment of monthly settlements and billing for all NEM customers.

5. Approve the establishment of annual true-up period to April for all NEM customers.

6. DIRECTOR COMMENTS
Director Sonne spoke about the Community Advisory Committee hiring a Chief Operating Officer.

Board Members thanked staff and the consultants for the rate presentation.

7. STAFF REPORT
No comments

8. PUBLIC COMMENTS
Linda Kraemer thanked the Board and spoke about outreach, hydroelectric, and the rates.

Kyler Chin expressed the urgency of ending the use of fossil fuels.

Stephen Coffey spoke about outreach to youth and the default service levels.

Sylvia Walker thanked the Board. She spoke about terms of office and gift policies.

Danny Gray thanked the Board and spoke about reducing greenhouse gases.

Doug Elliott said he appreciated the work that went into the rate setting presentation, and about OCPA as a public entity.

9. ADJOURNMENT
The January 11, 2022, Regular Meeting of the Orange County Power Authority adjourned at 1:50 p.m. Chair Carroll announced the next regular meeting will be held Tuesday, February 8, 2022 at 10:00 a.m.
Brian S. Probolsky, Chief Executive Officer
RECOMMENDED ACTION

Adopt Resolution No. 2022-02 a Resolution of the Orange County Board of Directors Making Findings Necessary for Remote Participation in Public Meetings.

BACKGROUND

AB 361 allows public agencies to hold fully or partially virtual meetings under certain circumstances, without being required to follow certain standard Ralph M. Brown Act teleconferencing requirements.

Under AB 361, a legislative body holding virtual meetings pursuant to AB 361 must make certain findings at least every thirty (30) days. Specifically, the legislative body must find that it has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency and either of the following: (1) state or local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing, or (2) as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

Based on current COVID-19-related circumstances, the Board can make the required findings.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact.

ATTACHMENT

Resolution No. 2022-02 a Resolution of the Orange County Board of Directors Making Findings Necessary for Remote Participation in Public Meetings.
RESOLUTION NO. 2022-02

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF THE ORANGE COUNTY POWER AUTHORITY
MAKING FINDINGS NECESSARY FOR REMOTE
PARTICIPATION IN PUBLIC MEETINGS

A. The Ralph M. Brown Act (“Brown Act”) requires, with specified exceptions, that all meetings of the governing body and all subordinate legislative bodies be open and public and that all persons be permitted to attend and participate.

B. The Brown Act contains provisions for remote participation in meetings by members of a legislative body subject to the existence of certain conditions and requirements.

C. Government Code section 54953(e) requirements include, but are not limited to, (1) the existence of a state of emergency declared by the California Governor pursuant to Government Code section 8625, and (2) state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing.

D. On March 4, 2020, the Governor issued a Proclamation of State of Emergency in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and as of the date of this Resolution, the proclaimed state of emergency remains in effect.

E. On March 17, 2020, the Governor issued Executive Order N-29-20, which suspended and modified the remote participation requirements under the Brown Act to allow local legislative bodies to hold public meetings via teleconference.

F. On June 11, 2021, the Governor issued Executive Order N-08-21, which extended the provisions of N-29-20 concerning the conduct of public meetings through September 30, 2021. The Governor subsequently signed Assembly Bill 361 (Rivas, 2021) revising requirements for remote public meetings (“AB 361”).

G. In order to preserve public health and safety, the State Public Health Officer and Orange County Health Officer have issued various orders and guidance, as they may be amended from time to time, regarding COVID-19 prevention measures, which include references and a statement of support for social distancing recommendations. (See, e.g., Guidance for Use of Face Coverings, revised January 5, 2022; COVID-19 Public Health Recommendations for Fully Vaccinated People, dated October 28, 2021; County of Orange Health Officer’s Orders and Strong Recommendations, revised January 14, 2022).

H. In light of the foregoing, the Board of Directors desires to continue to have the flexibility to meet via remote participation for public meetings, as long as the state of emergency and social distancing recommendations continue, and that it and its legislative bodies shall be permitted to conduct their meetings by remote participation in accordance with Government Code section 54953(e), and that such legislative bodies shall comply with the requirements to provide the public with access to the meetings as prescribed by that section therein.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Orange County Power Authority Board of Directors as follows:

Section 1. The above recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein.

Section 2. The Orange County Power Authority Board of Directors finds and declares for itself and each of its subordinate legislative bodies, as follows:

a. A continued state of emergency, as declared by the State of California, continues to exist.

b. The Board of Directors has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency.

c. State and local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing.

d. The Orange County Power Authority promotes social distancing measures, including, without limitation, promoting and utilizing remote attendance options at Board of Directors meetings.

Section 3. The Board of Directors and any of its legislative bodies are hereby authorized and directed to take all actions necessary to carry out the intent and purpose of this Resolution, including conducting open and public meetings in accordance with Government Code section 54953(e) and other applicable provisions of the Brown Act or executive order, as such may be amended or promulgated from time to time.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption and apply to all Orange County Power Authority public meetings of its legislative bodies including those held during the state of emergency since the passage of and governed by AB 361.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a meeting of the Orange County Power Authority Board of Directors held on February 8, 2022.

__________________________
Secretary
ORANGE COUNTY POWER AUTHORITY

Staff Report – Item 4.3

To: Orange County Power Authority Board of Directors
From: Brian Probolsky, Chief Executive Officer
Subject: Quarterly Community Advisory Committee Update
Date: February 8, 2022

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Receive and File

BACKGROUND

The Community Advisory Committee (CAC) began meeting in July 2021 and has held eight meetings since being created. The CAC consists of twelve members - two members from each member community and two members at large. The CAC has expanded to two additional seats due to the addition of the County of Orange as a member, which is in the process of appointing members. Current membership is found in the table below.

At the first meeting on July 8, 2021 Committee members were introduced along with OCPA staff, an overview of OCPA was given, and future meeting times and locations was discussed.

The second meeting was held on August 5, 2021 and CAC received an overview of the Brown Act, a presentation on the California Public Utilities Commission Energy Efficiency Funding, and discussed future agenda items.

The next meeting included Presentation on the University of California Advanced Power and Energy Program by Professor Jack Brouwer which was held on September 9, 2021.

On October 7, 2021, the CAC received a presentation from Jeff Arbour from Orange County Waste & Recycling on the Orange County Landfill Waste to Energy Program and also began brainstorming on a Strategic Planning Workshop.

At the November 5, 2021 meeting CAC received a presentation from Reveille, Inc. about OCPA marketing and branding.

On December 15, 2021 Chris Palmer, Senior Affairs Field Coordinator, with the California Special Districts Association gave a presentation on Local Government Interrelations. At this
meeting Charley Wilson, Executive Director, Southern California Water Coalition gave a presentation on Public Utility Policy Outreach and Advocacy. Lastly, at the December meeting the 2022 meeting calendar was adopted.

At the January 12, 2022, meeting the CAC elected Jose Trinidad Castaneda III from Buena Park as Chair, Deepak Nanda from Fullerton as Vice Chair, and Shanin Zeimer from Buena Park as Secretary.

At the most recent meeting held on Wednesday, February 2nd, several items were discussed such as developing a committee work plan, holding a team building activity and the creation of a subcommittee to review the draft CAC Standard Operating Procedures.

Future meetings will be held at 5:30 pm on the Wednesday prior to the OCPA Board meeting.

**Current CAC Members:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed Alrai</td>
<td>Irvine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anil Kumar</td>
<td>Irvine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose Trinidad Castaneda III (Chair)</td>
<td>Buena Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanin Zeimer (Secretary)</td>
<td>Buena Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angela Lindstrom</td>
<td>Fullerton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deepak Nanda (Vice Chair)</td>
<td>Fullerton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathleen McGowan</td>
<td>Huntington Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Shephard</td>
<td>Huntington Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>County Unincorporated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>County Unincorporated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Kitcher</td>
<td>Member at Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senait Forthal</td>
<td>Member at Large</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FISCAL IMPACT**

There is no fiscal impact associated with approving this item. It is presented for informational purposes only.
RECOMMENDATION

Receive and file energy regulatory update.

BACKGROUND

This report discusses recent developments in key regulatory proceedings before the California Public Utilities CPUC (“CPUC”), and considers any action items that may be warranted by the Orange County Power Authority’s (“OCPA”) Board of Directors and staff. Community choice aggregators are required to participate in certain CPUC planning proceedings to ensure that they are achieving state renewable energy and greenhouse gas reduction targets as well as resource adequacy procurement planning goals. Thus, CCAs are regulated by the CPUC and follow certain key CPUC proceedings. There are several proceedings OCPA should follow. The primary CPUC proceedings are integrated resource planning, renewables portfolio standard, and resource adequacy.

SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS OF RECENT REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS


Background: The IRP proceeding is an ongoing CPUC proceeding that runs on a two-year cycle. It is a planning proceeding required by the California Public Utilities Code to consider state electric procurement policies and programs to ensure safe, reliable, and cost-effective electricity supply. It is also the primary venue for the CPUC to monitor and track progress towards all load serving entities meeting the state’s greenhouse gas emissions reductions goals. The CPUC takes a look at the state’s needs on a 10-year basis regarding statewide reliability needs, specific areas with transmission limitations, and resources needed to integrate renewables. The CPUC has the discretion to order certain procurement based on the outcome of the data submitted by load serving entities.

Proposed Decision Issued RE LSE IRP Approval and Preferring System Planning:

On December 22, 2021, Administrative Law Judge Fitch issued a Proposed Decision (“PD”) approving load serving entities’ (“LSE”) IRPs with modification and adopting the 2021 preferred system plan (“PSP”).

First, the PD evaluated the 2020 individual IRP filings of all of the LSEs under the CPUC’s IRP purview. The Decision approved or certified the IRPs of 8 LSEs, determined that 8 are exempt
from the requirement to file an IRP in 2020, and determined that 24 LSEs did not provide all of the required information related to its IRP study design, results, action plan, or lessons learned, and those LSEs will have the opportunity to provide the required information in a Tier 2 Advice Letter and have their IRPs approved or certified after the subsequent filing.

Second, the PD also adopted a Preferred System Plan (“PSP”) portfolio that meets a statewide 38 million metric ton (“MMT”) greenhouse gas (“GHG”) target for the electric sector in 2030. This portfolio was developed first with an aggregation of the individual IRPs of all LSEs, reflecting the resource preferences of those LSEs. Then, CPUC staff made adjustments to extend the timeframe beyond 2030 to 2032 for transmission planning purposes and to add the resources required for mid-term reliability (“MTR”) purposes. Finally, the portfolio utilizes a managed mid-demand paired with high electric vehicle (“EV”) demand forecast from the California Energy CPUC’s Integrated Energy Policy Report of 2020. Ultimately, the Decision recommended to the California Independent System Operator that the 38 MMT PSP portfolio be utilized as both the reliability base case and the policy-driven base case for study in its 2022-2023 Transmission Planning Process.

Analysis: The PSP is a suggested resource portfolio for LSEs to procure over the next ten years through 2032. The PSP describes why LSE IRPs submitted in September 2020 are expected to fall short of meeting the state’s GHG and reliability targets, due to a collective insufficiency of planned new generation capacity. CPUC staff analysis and modeling, however, utilized updated assumptions for long term planning, and determined that over the last two years of the ten-year planning horizon, that LSEs should largely achieve the CPUC’s reliability and GHG goals for 2030.

One of the key purposes behind the CPUC’s IRP process is to take the individual IRPs, aggregate them, and evaluate the aggregated portfolio against the overall electric system needs of California, and particularly the CAISO system. The aggregated portfolio is compared against system reliability and GHG constraints, while seeking to meet those constraints at the lowest reasonable cost to ratepayers. The aggregation of the individual LSE portfolios also serves to determine if there are gaps in the collective LSE portfolio that will require action by the CPUC. OCPA should continue to monitor future developments based on the CPUC’s long term reliability and preferred system planning process and stay apprised of any procurement requirements that result from this process.

Action Items: The Authority is not currently required to file an updated IRP; however, as the Authority commences serving load next year it will be required to file a biennial IRP, beginning on September 1, 2022, and closely follow the developments of this proceeding. We expect that the CPUC will issue a Final Decision similar to the PD at the February 10, 2022 business meeting. The Authority should continue to monitor future developments based on the CPUC’s long term reliability and preferred system planning process. Decisions by the CPUC may impact OCPA procurement and the agency’s overall RPS planning.

Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) Proceeding – R. 18-07-003

Background: California’s Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) program was established in 2002 by Senate Bill (SB) 1078 (Sher, 2002) with the initial requirement that renewable resources must serve 20 percent of retail electricity sales by 2017. The program was accelerated in 2015 with SB
350 (de León, 2015), which mandated a 50 percent RPS by 2030. SB 350 includes interim annual
RPS targets with three-year compliance periods and requires 65 percent of RPS procurement to be
derived from long-term contracts of 10 or more years. In 2018, SB 100 (de León, 2018) was signed
into law, which again increases and accelerates the RPS procurement to 60 percent by 2030 and
sets the goal for all the State’s electricity to come from carbon-free resources by 2045. The RPS
proceeding implements these state laws mandating that LSEs meet certain RPS compliance targets.
The CPUC then enforces RPS compliance through annual compliance and enforcement. CCAs
are required to file annual procurement plans and compliance reports demonstrating achievement
of state renewable goals.

Final Decision Issued On 2021 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plans

Analysis: In this Decision, the CPUC determined if retail sellers provide the information required
by statute and in their draft 2021 RPS Plans and dispose of any requests or proposals specific to
each retail seller. To help retail sellers organize the submission of comprehensive RPS Plans, the
Decision listed specific issues that most CCAs still needed to address, such as an analysis of the
impact of transportation electrification on procurement activities, and guidance on managing the
information, including quantitative analysis and narratives supporting the retail seller’s assessment
of its portfolio's future procurement decisions. The Final Decision adopted, with modifications,
the draft 2021 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plans (RPS Plans) of the Orange
County Power Authority

Action Items: No action is required by the Board at this time. Staff and OCPA consultants are
preparing minor modifications to the RPS Plan, as requested by the CPUC in its Decision adopting
OCPA’s RPS Procurement Plan. The revised plan will be due to the CPUC on February 17, 2021.

Resource Adequacy Proceeding – R.19-11-009

There have been no recent Decisions in the RA proceeding since the CPUC issued a June 25, 2021
decision adopting local capacity obligations for 2022-2024 and flexible capacity obligations for
2022, and D.21-07-014 that adopted a Restructure of the Resource Adequacy Program. However,
we expect that the CPUC will issue a new scoping memo that outlines the CPUC’s future
consideration of system, flexible, and local RA capacity requirements for future years, as well as
other time-sensitive refinements to the RA program.

Analysis: The Authority is required to make its year ahead RA filing to the CPUC by November 1
of every year. The Authority must demonstrate procurement of 90% of System RA obligation for
the five summer months of the coming compliance year, and 90% of Flexible RA obligation for
each month of coming compliance year. Each LSE must demonstrate procurement of 100% of its
Local RA obligation for each month of compliance for 2022. The CPUC will consider and may
grant local year ahead RA waiver requests. On January 31, 2022, OCPA was granted a waiver for
a portion of its 2022 year-ahead local requirements as the agency demonstrated that it used
commercially reasonable efforts to procure all available local resource adequacy available to it
through competitive solicitations and bilateral contracting.
FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact associated with approving this item. It is presented for informational purposes only.
To: Orange County Power Authority Board of Directors
From: Tiffany Law, Chief Financial Officer
Subject: FINANCIAL RESULTS – QUARTER ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2021
Date: February 8, 2022

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Receive and file.

BACKGROUND

Orange County Power Authority prepares its financial statements in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. Accordingly, OCPA’s financial statements are presented as a governmental enterprise fund and are reported using the full accrual basis of accounting – like a business enterprise.

OCPA has prepared financial statements for the year-to-date July 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021 (omitting note disclosures and cash flow statement) as well as a year-to-date Budget to Actual report for the same period.

OCPA remains in its start-up phase as it prepares to launch electrical service to its customers in the Spring of 2022. Accordingly, OCPA has not yet recognized any operating revenues or cost of electricity through the reporting period. As planned, staffing, consulting and other general and administration costs are currently being funded through debt.

There were no unusual transactions of note during this period of reporting.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact associated with approving this item. It is presented for informational purposes only.

ATTACHMENT

1. Financial Statements as of December 31, 2021 (Statement of Net Position; Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position)
2. Budgetary Comparison Report as of December 31, 2021
ACCOUNTANTS’ COMPILATION REPORT

Management
Orange County Power Authority

Management is responsible for the accompanying financial statements of Orange County Power Authority (a California Joint Powers Authority) which comprise the statement of net position as of December 31, 2021, and the related statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position for the period then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have performed a compilation engagement in accordance with Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services promulgated by the Accounting and Review Services Committee of the AICPA. We did not audit or review the accompanying statements nor were we required to perform any procedures to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information provided by management. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion, conclusion, nor provide any assurance on these financial statements.

Management has elected to omit substantially all of the note disclosures and statement of cash flows required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America in these interim financial statements. If the omitted disclosures and statement of cash flows were included in these financial statements, they might influence the user’s conclusions about the Authority’s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. Accordingly, these financial statements are not designed for those who are not informed about such matters.

We are not independent with respect to the Authority because we performed certain accounting services that impaired our independence.

Maher Accountancy
San Rafael, CA
January 24, 2022
# ORANGE COUNTY POWER AUTHORITY
## STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
### As of December 31, 2021

### ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current assets</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$1,148,194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted cash</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments</td>
<td>$147,342</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits</td>
<td>$204,815</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,500,351</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LIABILITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current liabilities</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$290,206</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other accrued liabilities</td>
<td>$130,765</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest and financing costs</td>
<td>$20,890</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current liabilities</strong></td>
<td><strong>$441,861</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noncurrent liabilities</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Note payable</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan payable</td>
<td>$7,652,380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest and financing costs</td>
<td>$54,555</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total noncurrent liabilities</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,706,935</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities</strong></td>
<td><strong>$9,148,796</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NET POSITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unrestricted (deficit)</th>
<th>(2,648,445)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total net position</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ (2,648,445)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accountants' compilation report.
## ORANGE COUNTY POWER AUTHORITY
### STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION
#### July 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPERATING REVENUES</th>
<th>Total operating revenues</th>
<th>$ -</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### OPERATING EXPENSES
- Contract services: 1,193,291
- Staff compensation: 385,551
- General and administration: 74,225

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total operating expenses</th>
<th>1,653,067</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating income (loss)</td>
<td>(1,653,067)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)
- Investment income: 328
- Interest and financing expense: (60,642)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonoperating revenues (expenses), net</th>
<th>(60,314)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### CHANGE IN NET POSITION
- Net position at beginning of period: (935,064)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net position at end of period</th>
<th>$ (2,648,445)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

See accountants' compilation report.
ACCOUNTANTS’ COMPILATION REPORT

Board of Directors
Orange County Power Authority

Management is responsible for the accompanying special purpose statement of Orange County Power Authority (OCPA), a California Joint Powers Authority, which comprise the budgetary comparison schedule for the period ended December 31, 2021, and for determining that the budgetary basis of accounting is an acceptable financial reporting framework. We have performed a compilation engagement in accordance with Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services promulgated by the Accounting and Review Services Committee of the AICPA. We did not audit or review the accompanying statement nor were we required to perform any procedures to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information provided by management. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion, a conclusion, nor provide any assurance on this special purpose budgetary comparison statement.

The special purpose statement is prepared in accordance with the budgetary basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. This report is intended for the information of the Board of Directors of OCPA.

Management has elected to omit substantially all of the note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America in these interim financial statements. OCPA’s annual audited financial statements will include the note disclosures omitted from these interim statements. If the omitted disclosures were included in these financial statements, they might influence the user’s conclusions about the Authority’s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. Accordingly, these financial statements are not designed for those who are not informed about such matters.

We are not independent with respect to OCPA because we performed certain accounting services that impaired our independence.

Maher Accountancy
San Rafael, CA
January 24, 2022
## Orange County Power Authority

**Budgetary Comparison Report**  
July 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021

### Operating Revenues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YTD Actual</th>
<th>YTD Budget</th>
<th>YTD Budget Variance</th>
<th>Annual Budget</th>
<th>Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Sales, net</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 35,475,000</td>
<td>$ 35,475,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Operating Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YTD Actual</th>
<th>YTD Budget</th>
<th>YTD Budget Variance</th>
<th>Annual Budget</th>
<th>Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Electricity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26,632,000</td>
<td>26,632,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Manager</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96,000</td>
<td>96,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Fees - SCE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>385,551</td>
<td>460,000</td>
<td>(74,449)</td>
<td>1,262,000</td>
<td>876,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>522,814</td>
<td>451,500</td>
<td>71,314</td>
<td>903,000</td>
<td>380,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>492,086</td>
<td>177,000</td>
<td>315,086</td>
<td>354,000</td>
<td>(138,086)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications &amp; Outreach</td>
<td>183,108</td>
<td>229,000</td>
<td>(45,892)</td>
<td>458,000</td>
<td>274,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administration</td>
<td>69,508</td>
<td>164,000</td>
<td>(94,492)</td>
<td>402,000</td>
<td>332,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Expenses</td>
<td>1,653,067</td>
<td>1,481,500</td>
<td>171,567</td>
<td>30,117,000</td>
<td>28,463,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income (loss)</td>
<td>(1,653,067)</td>
<td>(1,481,500)</td>
<td>(171,567)</td>
<td>5,358,000</td>
<td>7,011,067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YTD Actual</th>
<th>YTD Budget</th>
<th>YTD Budget Variance</th>
<th>Annual Budget</th>
<th>Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment income</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest and financing expense</td>
<td>(60,642)</td>
<td>(234,217)</td>
<td>(173,575)</td>
<td>(858,000)</td>
<td>(797,358)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonoperating revenues (expenses), net</td>
<td>(60,315)</td>
<td>(234,217)</td>
<td>(173,247)</td>
<td>(858,000)</td>
<td>(797,685)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Change in Net Position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YTD Actual</th>
<th>YTD Budget</th>
<th>YTD Budget Variance</th>
<th>Annual Budget</th>
<th>Budget Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ (1,713,381)</td>
<td>$ (1,715,717)</td>
<td>$ (344,813)</td>
<td>$ 4,500,000</td>
<td>$ 6,213,381</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ORANGE COUNTY POWER AUTHORITY

Staff Report – Item 5.1

To: Orange County Power Authority Board of Directors
From: Brian Probolsky, Chief Executive Officer
Subject: Authorize OCPA to join California Community Choice Association (CalCCA) as an operational member
Date: February 8, 2022

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Authorize OCPA to join California Community Choice Association (CalCCA) as an operational member.

BACKGROUND

Launched in 2016, the CalCCA is a trade association representing the legislative and regulatory interest of community choice aggregators (CCA) in California. CalCCA currently represents 22 operational members across the state and has 7 affiliate members. OCPA is one of the affiliate members because it has not launched retail service yet.

CalCCA is the leading voice for CCA programs in all key legislative and regulatory forums. The trade association represents the interests of its members before the California State Legislature and numerous state and federal regulatory agencies, including the California Air Resources Board, California Energy Commission, California Public Utilities Commission, and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. CalCCA also works closely with the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) and participates in key stakeholder initiatives. Attached to this staff report are CalCCA membership materials including its Overview Structure, Membership Flyer, and 2020-21 Annual Report for more details.

CalCCA membership dues are based on the expected annual revenue for the participating members. A cap will be applied to the operational membership dues to limit the impact on larger CCAs.

By joining CalCCA OCPA will become a voting member on the CalCCA board.

FISCAL IMPACT

OCPA’s annual operational dues are anticipated to be $400,000 in FY2022-23. It will be included in the FY 2022-23 Operating Budget.
ATTACHMENT

1. CalCCA Overview Structure
2. CalCCA Membership Flyer
3. CalCCA 2020-21 Annual Report
CalCCA Overview Structure

CalCCA Board of Directors
Open to all Operational Members.
Required participation for Operational Members with annual revenue of >$50M.

Directors

1. Girish Balachandran, CEO, Silicon Valley Clean Energy
2. Ted Bardacke, Executive Director, Clean Power Alliance
3. Barbara Boswell, Interim CEO, Clean Energy Alliance
4. Bill Carnahan, CEO, San Diego Community Power
5. Nick Chaset, CEO, East Bay Community Energy
6. Cathy DeFalco, General Manager, California Choice Energy Authority
7. Don Eckert, Executive Director, Pioneer Community Energy
8. Tom Habashi, CEO, Central Coast Community Energy
9. Barbara Hale, SFPUC Assistant General Manager, Power, CleanPowerSF
10. Matthew Marshall, Executive Director, Redwood Coast Energy Authority
11. Lori Mitchell, Director, San Jose Clean Energy
12. Jan Pepper, CEO, Peninsula Clean Energy
13. Mitch Sears, Interim General Manager, Valley Clean Energy Alliance
14. Geof Syphers, CEO, Sonoma Clean Power
15. Dawn Weisz, CEO, MCE

Board Officers
President: Matthew Marshall
Vice President: Ted Bardacke
Secretary: Cathy DeFalco
Treasurer: Girish Balachandran

Staff:

Leanne Bober, Senior Policy Analyst
Lauren Carr, Senior Market Policy Analyst
Evelyn Kahl, General Counsel
Eric Little, Director of Regulatory Affairs
Shawn-Dai Linderman, Policy Assistant
Sean MacNeill, Director of Legislative Affairs
Sandra McCafferty, Administrative Coordinator
Jackson McDonough, Communication Associate
Andrew Mills, Electricity System Modeler
Martha Serianz, Director of Operations and Membership
Fred Taylor-Hochberg, Technical Manager, Regulatory and Modeling
Beth Vaughan, Executive Director
Leora Vestel, Director of Communications

Meeting time and frequency: Monthly, every 2nd Thursday of the month from 8:00AM-10:00AM, quarterly in-person all day meetings, and as needed for special voting sessions.
Operational Members

1. Apple Valley Choice Energy
2. Central Coast Community Energy
3. Clean Energy Alliance
4. Clean Power Alliance
5. CleanPowerSF
6. Desert Community Energy
7. East Bay Community Energy
8. Lancaster Choice Energy
9. MCE
10. Peninsula Clean Energy
11. Pomona Choice Energy
12. PRIME (Pico Rivera Innovative Municipal Energy)
13. Pioneer Community Energy
14. Rancho Mirage Energy Authority
15. Redwood Coast Energy Authority
16. San Diego Community Power
17. San Jacinto Power
18. San Jose Clean Energy
19. Santa Barbara Clean Energy
20. Silicon Valley Clean Energy
21. Sonoma Clean Power
22. Valley Clean Energy
CalCCA Board Committees
Open to Operational Members on CalCCA Board

Executive Committee (standing)
Frequency: Monthly, every 4th Monday
Time: 3:00PM-5:00PM
Members: Ted Bardacke, Nick Chaset, Lori Mitchell, Geof Syphers (Ex Oficio) and Matthew Marshall
Scope of Work:
1. Each member must be prepared to commit a minimum of 20 hours per month on Executive Committee tasks.
2. Oversight of the Executive Director (ED) including reviews, salary, and general direction but not responsible for establishing regulatory policy.
3. Support to the ED as well as implementation of the policy objectives approved by the voting members, including:
   a. Calling on individual CCAs to provide policy and regulatory analysis and advocacy, CCA industry relationship support, and any other work necessary to advocate for the benefit of CCAs in California.
   b. Support the CalCCA ED in settlement negotiations by providing review of CalCCA positions on proposed settlements, consideration of the analysis of the CalCCA negotiating team, guidance to the ED and negotiating team, and updates to keep the Board informed in a timely manner. The Board will have final approval of any proposed settlements.
   c. Directing the CalCCA lobbyists and CalCCA ED to take positions on legislation when the adopted policy guidelines are clear that CalCCA should be advocating on the topic, AND a recommended position was put forward for a vote of the voting members and a majority agreed.
   d. Providing support on tasks and requests by ED including management of CalCCA lobbyists and consultants.
   e. Approving communications material that aligns with CalCCA policy positions including approval of Opinion Editorials, responses to media inquiries, and informational literature.
   f. Reviewing and recommending approval of regulatory filings to voting members when time permits.
   g. Representing CalCCA before the legislature, at regulatory agencies, in the media and with external bodies and stakeholders, as needed.
4. Other tasks as assigned by the Board of Directors.

Public Utilities Restructuring Committee (limited term)
Frequency: As Needed
Time: As Needed
Members: Nick Chaset (Chair), Jan Pepper, Barbara Hale, Tom Habashi, Lori Mitchell, Mitch Sears, and Girish Balachandran
Scope of Work: Oversee, coordinate, and direct activities in the regulatory and legislative arenas to align CalCCA advocacy regarding changes to the utilities' business models.
Nominating Committee *(limited term)*

**Frequency:** As Needed  
**Time:** As Needed  
**Members:** 3 members, including to the extent possible the past 3 presidents. Currently Barbara Hale, Cathy DeFalco, and Dawn Weisz.

**Scope of Work:**
1. Identify and propose Directors for election as officers.  
2. Identify and propose Directors, subject to the approval of the Board to serve on the Executive Committee.  
3. In identifying and proposing Directors for any of the purposes listed above, it is the duty of the Nominating committee to consider as nominees in consultation with the Executive Director those Directors who will represent a proper balance of the Community Choice Aggregators that comprise the Association. This balance includes striving for representation of the communities that CalCCA members serve, with attention to the following guidelines:
   a. Geographic Diversity - reflecting the fact that CalCCA members represent Southern and Northern California communities; urban cities, suburban towns, and unincorporated rural communities; and coastal and inland communities.  
   b. Socioeconomic Diversity - recognizing that CalCCA members serve and address the needs of underserved and disadvantaged populations in their communities, including customers enrolled in the CARE program, and customers with limited English proficiency.  
   c. Number of Accounts Served - acknowledging that CalCCA members include both high and low headcount CCAs.

Annual Member Meeting Committee *(limited term)*

**Frequency:** As needed  
**Time:** As Needed  
**Scope of Work:** Work in coordination with the Executive Director, Director of Operations and Membership, and Annual Meeting Committee, to develop and plan for the annual CalCCA member meeting.

Budget Committee *(limited term)*

**Frequency:** As needed  
**Time:** As Needed  
**Members:** Girish Balachandran, Ted Bardacke, Nick Chaset, Mitch Sears  
**Scope of Work:** Work in coordination with the Executive Director to address changes in the membership dues methodology, membership criteria, and develop draft annual budget proposals.
CalCCA Staff Committees

Open to Operational Members; led by CalCCA or CCA Staff
As a general rule, outside consultants are not members of any staff committees.

NOTE: To join a committee or discussion group, please contact the facilitator listed and copy sandra@cal-cca.org. Committee staff are expected to pitch in and help with committee / working group business.

Regulatory Policy Meeting (standing)
Frequency: Weekly, Tuesday
Time: 1:00PM-3:00PM
Facilitator/Contact: Eric Little, Director of Regulatory Affairs, eric@cal-cca.org
Scope of Work: Call aims to facilitate coordinated regulatory actions and strategies among CCA parties, provide analysis and advisement to the CalCCA leadership on regulatory matters, and provide a routine venue for open dialog among CCA analysts to spot new regulatory issues as they may appear. Working Groups are established to work on policy issue areas and proceedings (e.g., PCIA, RA, IRP, etc.). Regulatory Committee makes recommendations to the Board for decision-making. See Regulatory Committee site for operating protocols.

Legislative Committee (standing)
Frequency: Weekly, Thursday
Time: 3:30PM – 4:30PM, and more frequently as needed
Facilitator/Contact: Sean MacNeil, CalCCA, sean@cal-cca.org
Board Liaisons: Geof Syphers, SCP, and Nick Chaset, EBCE
Voting Members: Geof Syphers, Gina Good, Melissa Brandt, Shalini Swaroop, and Kari Smith.
Alternate Voting Members: None.
Participants: Staff of Operational Members
Scope of Work: In conjunction with Lobbying team and Executive Director, plan and execute work as needed on identified legislative priorities. Legislative Committee makes recommendations to the Board for decision-making. See Legislative Committee site for operating protocols.

Elected Officials Subcommittee (standing)
Frequency: TBD
Time: TBD
Facilitator/Contact: Sean MacNeil, CalCCA, sean@cal-cca.org, Jan Pepper, PCE jpepper@peninsulacleanenergy.com, Ted Bardacke, tbardacke@cleanpoweralliance.org
Members: Elected officials and CCA Board Members.
Scope of Work: provide common awareness and understanding of current key legislative issues, and prepare members for engagement in legislative matters via their own CCA and the CalCCA Legislative Committee
Marketing and Communications Committee (standing)
Frequency: Monthly, 3rd Wednesday
Time: 1:00PM-2:00PM
Facilitator/Contact: Leora Vestel, CalCCA, leora@cal-cca.org
Members: Operational and Affiliate CCAs
Scope of Work: Call covers all things public facing including marketing, community outreach, and publicity.

Billing Operations and Customer Care Committee
Frequency: Monthly
Time: Dates, times, and location change; there is a call-in number for remote participation
Facilitator/Contact: Rebecca Boyles, VCE, rboyles@valleycleanenergy.org and Leslie Brown, PCE, lbrown@peninsulacleanenergy.com
Details: Meeting covers implementation of general CCA services, ongoing customer service and billing operations, and collaboration with PG&E, SCE, SDG&E, Calpine, SMUD, and/or GridX.

Procurement Committee (standing)
Frequency: Quarterly meeting
Time: Host determines
Facilitator/Contact: Lori Mitchell, SJCE, lori.mitchell@sanjoseca.gov
Scope of Work: Purpose is to establish and promote procurement best practices, and to promote economies of scale in resource procurement when effective, while observing anti-trust rules.

General Counsel Committee (ad hoc)
Frequency: As needed
Time: As needed
Facilitator/Contact: David Silberman, PCE dsilberman@smcgov.org
Scope of Work: 2019-2020, coordinated activities around PGE bankruptcy proceedings, specifically in the bankruptcy court; other counsel activities as identified. Resource for CalCCA General Counsel.

Equity Committee (standing)
Frequency: Quarterly, during the 4th week of the month
Time: TBD
Co-Facilitators/Contact: Justin Marquez, MCE, jmarquez@mcecleanenergy.org; Feby Boediarto, EBCE, fboediarto@ebce.org
Scope of Work: CalCCA's Equity Committee is comprised of member CCA staff and meets on a monthly basis to share best practices for integrating environmental justice and equity into all aspects of the services and programs we provide our communities. Two working groups each meet monthly and come together quarterly.

- EJ & Equity Working Group: to discuss and share best practices for CCA programs, community engagement, and internal policies that center environmental justice and prioritize equitable outcomes for vulnerable, low-income, and disadvantaged communities, and communities of color. Meets 3rd week of the month.
- DAC Working Group: focuses on identifying policy gaps, priorities, and potential solutions that impact DACs, low-income, hard-to-reach and/or vulnerable populations. Meets 4th week of the month.
Compliance Committee *(standing)*  
**Frequency:** Bi-weekly  
**Time:** Wednesdays, 1:00PM-2:00PM  
**Facilitator/Contact:** Evelyn Kahl, CalCCA General Counsel, [evelyn@cal-cca.org](mailto:evelyn@cal-cca.org)  
**Scope of Work:** Call covers coordination between CCAs regarding compliance activities, e.g., RPS, RA, IRP, PSD form, etc. Objective is standardization of data entry, leading to coordination when interacting with regulatory agencies, and ultimately inform development of industry standards.

Finance Committee – Not currently active  
**Frequency:** TBD  
**Time:** TBD  
**Facilitator/Contact:** Charles Perl, Deputy CFO of the SFPUC could convene this group. Chair could be elected subsequently.  
**Scope of Work:** TBD

Ad Hoc Practices and Standards Committee  
**Frequency:** Ad hoc; generally weekly  
**Time:** TBD  
**Facilitator/Contact:** Evelyn Kahl, CalCCA General Counsel, [evelyn@cal-cca.org](mailto:evelyn@cal-cca.org) and a limited framing committee with CCA staff.  
**Scope of Work:** In light of the WCE bankruptcy, CalCCA and its members have recognized that more needs to be done to prevent and anticipate any financial, supply, or organizational problems that might arise with a CCA. Policymakers have also suggested a need for greater CCA oversight. The Practices and Standards Committee has developed a high-level framework for external regulation, that can be used in CPUC proceedings or as needed, in Sacramento. The next step is the development of a more detailed self-regulation framework, based on other industry models.

CalCCA Discussion Groups

*Open to Operational and Affiliate Members*

**New CCA Directors Discussion** *(standing)*  
**Frequency:** Monthly, last Friday of the month  
**Time:** 9:00AM-10:00AM  
**Facilitator/Contact:** Beth Vaughan, CalCCA, [beth@cal-cca.org](mailto:beth@cal-cca.org), Dawn Weisz, MCE, [dweisz@mce.org](mailto:dweisz@mce.org) and Cathy DeFalco, [cathy@calchoice.org](mailto:cathy@calchoice.org)  
**Details:** Call includes roundtable updates from each new CCA, current issues, problem solving, and general questions and discussion.

**Key Accounts Discussion Group**  
**Frequency:** Quarterly  
**Time:** TBD  
**Facilitator/Contact:** Mark Bachman, SJCE, [mark.bachman@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:mark.bachman@sanjoseca.gov)  
**Details:** discussion of best practices, challenges, and lessons learned for messaging key commercial and industrial accounts around current policy topics, pricing, and opt-out issues.
As a CalCCA member, your community is plugged-in to a powerful statewide network of expertise and knowledge that will help your local energy program succeed and grow.

**MISSION**

CalCCA’s mission is to create a legislative and regulatory environment that supports the development and long-term sustainability of locally run Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) electricity providers in California. We serve our members and strengthen our collective voice through education, technical guidance, and regulatory and legislative advocacy.

CalCCA was launched in 2016 by the first five Community Choice Aggregators (CCAs) in California: CleanPowerSF, Lancaster Choice Energy, MCE, Peninsula Clean Energy, and Sonoma Clean Power. Today, our membership includes 23 of the 24 CCAs operating in California as well as emerging CCA communities. Indeed, community choice has come a long way since MCE launched the first community choice program in 2010. Our members are successfully and reliably serving upwards of 11 million customers in 200+ towns, cities, and counties in California – and those numbers are set to grow as more communities move ahead with CCA.

**WHY CALCCA?**

Even with the phenomenal growth of community choice in California, CalCCA’s mission is more important than ever. CCA is still a relatively new concept when compared to more established energy service models, and community choice energy providers are facing challenges on numerous fronts. That is why it is imperative that local governments gain access to the CalCCA network and the power it provides.

**MEMBERSHIP OPTIONS**

**Operational Membership**

CalCCA Operational Members are community choice programs serving customers or are actively preparing to do so through the filing of an CCA implementation plan with the CPUC and appointment of a General Manager or CEO. Operational Members can have a voting seat on the CalCCA Board of Directors.

**Affiliate Membership**

Any incorporated California city or town, county, or joint powers authority (JPA) can apply to be an Affiliate Member of CalCCA. Affiliate Members have access to CalCCA’s broad network of CCA professionals, educational resources and materials, and may attend the CalCCA Annual Meeting as non-voting members.
WHY JOIN?

Launching and operating a community choice energy program is no small undertaking and requires extensive planning, coordination, and organization of many moving parts. From rate-setting and power procurement to customer engagement and regulatory compliance, CCAs must navigate a complex and evolving energy landscape that is fraught with challenges and potential pitfalls. While many new CCAs have hired skilled staff and contractors to help operate their programs day-to-day on the local level, there is still a need to plug in to a statewide network that will help to ensure the success of your community’s CCA program. CalCCA membership provides:

Expertise

When you join CalCCA you gain access to a specialized network of CCA-focused professionals who work daily and at every turn to help community choice energy programs advance and thrive. Led by individuals with deep expertise in the regulatory, legislative, and communications fields, we work together with our members to anticipate and plan for what lies ahead and develop effective strategies to address complex issues and problems when they arise.

Representation

CalCCA is a commanding voice for community choice energy programs in all key legislative and regulatory forums. We represent the interests of our members before the California State Legislature and numerous state and federal regulatory agencies, including the California Air Resources Board, California Energy Commission, the California Public Utilities Commission, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. CalCCA works closely with the California Independent System Operator and participates in key stakeholder initiatives. CalCCA supports legislation and regulatory policies that protect and foster CCAs within the state. We oppose legislation and regulatory policies that unfairly discriminate against CCAs and their customers or reduce CCA policy- or decision-making autonomy. We work with our members to achieve consensus on complex issues, leading to more effective advocacy.

Connections

CalCCA links member CCAs so they can learn from each other and share best practices. Through the sharing of best practices, CCAs can avoid mistakes and reinventing the wheel at each stage of development. CalCCA provides multiple ways for members to connect with each other, through staff committees, conference calls, in-person meetings, webinars, events, networking opportunities, and topic-specific working groups. We also provide our members with regular opportunities to meet with key policy influencers and energy industry stakeholders.

Knowledge

We keep our members ‘in the know’ regarding the latest legislative and policy developments and swiftly provide expert analyses, presentations, talking points, and FAQs to put complex matters into context. These communication tools are used by our members to raise awareness and answer questions at the local level. The Association also has multiple communication vehicles to boost and amplify the achievements of our member CCAs, including our quarterly report, news clip service, info sheets, and website/social media, all of which reach policymakers, industry stakeholders, media, and the general public.
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Dear Valued Members,

I am proud to present you with the inaugural California Community Choice Association (CalCCA) Fiscal Year 2020–2021 Annual Report. The report, which covers the period of July 1, 2020, to June 30, 2021, provides highlights of CalCCA’s key activities, successes, and ongoing efforts undertaken in support of our members over the course of the year.

On behalf of the CalCCA leadership and staff, we offer our sincerest appreciation for your continued involvement, investment, and commitment – of your time, energy, and resources – in your statewide association of community choice energy providers. It is thanks to you that CalCCA continues to grow and advance with each passing year.

We are proud of this year’s accomplishments, especially of the association’s ability to skillfully address the policy needs of our growing membership in California’s challenging regulatory environment, and amid a pandemic that has had tremendous impacts on all of our operations. We’ve added new staff and new policy-focus areas, worked to streamline operations, leveraged the tremendous skills of our members as subject-matter experts within the industry, and found strategic collaborations to maximize value and return on investment.

We look forward to continuing to help drive the collective mission and values that have established community choice energy providers as California’s new energy leaders.

Sincerely,

Beth
**Vision**

To empower communities to accelerate the transition to a sustainable clean energy future.

**Mission**

To cultivate a legislative and regulatory environment that supports the development and long-term sustainability of Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) electricity providers in California.

**Strategy**

The CalCCA agenda is driven by our members. Together we work to identify the issues that are most pressing and relevant to community choice energy providers and respond with effective and proactive advocacy and support. We serve our members and strengthen our collective voice through education, technical guidance, and regulatory and legislative advocacy.
KEY FOCUS AREAS

Policy
Participate in relevant regulatory proceedings at the CPUC, CEC, and CAISO, and actively engage in the legislative process, seeking successful outcomes for CCAs. Establish CalCCA as the reliable authority and thought leader on energy and climate policy, build strong relationships with key decision-makers and stakeholders, and advance data-driven policy solutions.

Communications
Develop a communications and marketing program that drives positive/accurate perceptions of CCAs across key audiences including regulators, legislators, media, and general public, and positions CalCCA as the unified and authoritative voice of CCA. Raise general CCA awareness. Expand modes of communication to reflect the maturation and growth of the CCA movement.

Membership
Develop high-value programs and benefits to ensure member and partner stewardship, retention, and growth. Refine operations to increase agency effectiveness and efficiency. Create systems that facilitate member collaboration, education, and the sharing of best practices.
CalCCA was formed in 2016 by six CCAs: CleanPowerSF, Lancaster Choice Energy, MCE, Peninsula Clean Energy, Silicon Valley Clean Energy, and Sonoma Clean Power. As 2021 marks the five-year anniversary of CalCCA, we asked our founding board members to weigh in on the occasion.

In the words of our founding members: Celebrating five years of CalCCA

“Because of our CCA, CleanPowerSF, San Francisco is meeting and exceeding our climate action goals. And by 2025, all of CleanPowerSF’s residents and businesses will be served 100 percent renewable energy. Since CleanPowerSF started serving customers in 2016, we have been fortunate to have a strong advocacy platform in CalCCA and we are honored to be a founding member of such an important organization.”

Barbara Hale
Assistant General Manager of the Power Enterprise, SFPUC (CleanPowerSF)
Founding President of CalCCA Board

“It is exciting to be a part of CalCCA, driving the future of California’s solutions to the climate crisis.”

Geof Syphers
CEO, Sonoma Clean Power
Founding Vice President of CalCCA Board

“As the CEO of California’s first CCA, it’s been inspiring to watch the CCA movement grow across California. CalCCA has been a pivotal part of this growth, helping numerous CCAs launch, and serving as a space for innovation and collaboration. I’m excited to see how CalCCA continues supporting energy choice in its next five years of service.”

Dawn Weisz
CEO, MCE
Founding Secretary of CalCCA Board
“I take great pride in being part of founding CalCCA and seeing how it has grown into a great organization that has achieved so much in such a short time!”

Barbara Boswell  
Interim CEO, Clean Energy Alliance (Former Director of Lancaster Choice Energy)  
Founding Treasurer of CalCCA Board

“For the past five years, CalCCA has led the charge advocating for community choice in California. This association has achieved so much and played an integral role in connecting California Community Choice Aggregators to collaborate on behalf of our 11 million customers. I look forward to the next five years of progress CalCCA will champion as it supports customer choice and the acceleration of reliable and renewable energy.”

Tom Habashi  
CEO, Central Coast Community Energy (Former CEO of Silicon Valley Clean Energy)  
Founding Member of CalCCA Board

“It has been a privilege to be one of the CalCCA founders and help this important organization grow and mature over the last five years. Our ability to collaborate through CalCCA has been instrumental in growing the CCA movement to accelerate the delivery of clean and reliable energy to millions of California electricity customers. I'm looking forward to what we can achieve in the next five years!”

Jan Pepper  
CEO, Peninsula Clean Energy  
Founding Member of CalCCA Board

CalCCA’s first annual meeting in Riverside in 2017.
CCA has come a long way since MCE launched the first operational CCA program in Marin County in 2010. In the last decade more than 200 cities and counties have made the choice to implement CCA in their communities. By the end of the fiscal year, CalCCA’s membership included 22 of the 23 CCAs operating in California.

Timeline of CCA Launches

2010
- MCE

2014
- Sonoma Clean Power

2015
- Choice Energy

2016
- CleanPowerSF
- Peninsula Clean Energy

2017
- Redwood Coast Energy Authority
- Silicon Valley Clean Energy

2018
- Central Coast Community Energy
- Pioneer Community Energy
- East Bay Community Energy
- Solana Energy Alliance
- San Jose Clean Energy
- Rancho Mirage Energy Authority
- Valley Clean Energy
- King City Community Power

2020
- Desert Community Energy
- B Proud
- Western Community Energy
- Pomona Choice Energy

2021
- San Diego Community Power

*Solana Energy Alliance merged with Clean Energy Alliance in 2021.*
CalCCA member growth is also reflected in the collective load served by CCAs in California. In 2021, CCAs will serve a combined annual load of more than 57,000 GWh, a 960% increase from 2016, or about 28% of the load in the CAISO balancing authority area. CCA load is projected to rise to about 62,000 GWh in 2022, a 1055% increase from 2016 and about 31% of CAISO load.
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The past year brought tremendous growth to the Regulatory Affairs team, enabling CalCCA to increase its engagement in regulatory proceedings with internal resources and enhance its profile as a thought leader and partner with other stakeholders.

More extensive engagement
CalCCA expanded regulatory engagement from a handful of CPUC proceedings to 38 stakeholder dockets/initiatives.

Data–informed policy positions
CalCCA’s growing data team moved beyond providing key stats for comms activities to gathering data from members and analyzing internal and external data to inform CalCCA policy positions.

Coordination with other stakeholders
CalCCA continued to build relationships with other electricity market stakeholders, recognizing the importance of diverse points of view and technical discussions among stakeholders to inform effective policy solutions.

Policy team integration
CalCCA’s regulatory and legislative teams joined agendas to advance policy proposals for equal access to the IOUs’ PCIA portfolios before the CPUC and through SB 612.
While CalCCA faced strong headwinds in trying to advance policy positions in 2020–2021, the association’s accomplishments are tangible.

**Power Charge Indifference Adjustment.** After more than a year on ice, the CPUC issued a decision on the PCIA Working Group 3 proposal developed by CalCCA together with Southern California Edison and Commercial Energy. The Commission adopted the parties’ proposal to allocate, on a voluntary basis, the RPS energy in the IOUs’ PCIA portfolios to all LSEs in proportion to their load share. Along with this clear success, however, the CPUC rejected similar allocation proposals for RA and GHG-free energy in the PCIA portfolio.

**Harmonized Rate Case Positions.** Joining forces with the CCA teams in each service territory, CalCCA established an objective of rationalizing the amortization of PCIA undercollections and supported achievement of that objective in all three IOU service territories. These efforts also led to a joint effort to eliminate the “cap and trigger” mechanism to reduce PCIA volatility.

**Direct Access.** CCAs have faced a threat to their stability since the enactment of SB 237 in 2018, which required the CPUC to consider a broader expansion of Direct Access to commercial and industrial customers. Recognizing the challenges to reliability, climate goals, and market stability the Commission was bound to consider, CalCCA provided technical and policy support to the Commission in reaching its decision not to expand Direct Access at this time.

**Local RA Compensation.** Having faced the CPUC’s rejection of its “residual” central procurement settlement proposal, CalCCA salvaged an important opportunity for CCAs and other LSEs. Through the Local Capacity Requirement Reduction Compensation Mechanism, developed and proposed by CalCCA, CCAs and other LSEs can optimize the value of their local resource investments by receiving payment from the Central Procurement Entities for the local RA attribute while retaining the system and flexible RA attributes for compliance.

**Customer Support and Financial Operations.** CalCCA took a deeper dive into Commission proceedings addressing tools to prevent customer disconnections and resolve past-due balances. These efforts yielded a more equitable allocation of customers’ past-due payments between the IOU and CCA – a change that has proved critical in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic. CalCCA also advocated and achieved centralized recovery by the IOU of past-due balance write-offs for customers engaging in payment programs to ensure equitable cost sharing among all customers.
CalCCA’s growing expertise in reliability and grid operations will enable greater focus on CAISO stakeholder proceedings in transmission planning, reliability, and other stakeholder processes. This new focus – crucial in light of CAISO’s central market role – will also require engagement before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. In addition to CAISO engagement, CalCCA will engage more regularly with the California Energy Commission, including in the Integrated Energy Policy Report, to support the overall process for meeting the state’s energy security and climate goals.

REG STATS

- **15** subject areas
- **38** stakeholder dockets/initiatives
- **215** filings and submissions
- **2,711** pages of filings and submissions
- **6,448** views of The Daily Glean
In 2020–2021, the CalCCA Data Team continued to build its in-house analytics capabilities, to provide multiple value streams to members.

**Improved forecasting**

Energy and capacity markets are complex and ever-changing. The CalCCA Data Team has developed multiple analytical tools that support member insights into where these markets are headed.

**Persuasive advocacy**

In the regulatory and legislative arena, it is difficult to make headway without the ability to use data to quickly answer tough analytical questions (such as: How much capacity should CCAs procure? What types?). The Data Team’s sophisticated analytics suite enables research to inform policy positions.

**Data-driven comms**

The Data Team supports CalCCA in its efforts to provide clear, accurate, and timely information to a broad range of audiences by aggregating member data and creating compelling visuals that can be used in a variety of communications materials.
**PCIA Modeling.** Created the PCIA Forecast Explorer model, in collaboration with NewGen Strategies & Solutions, which forecasts PCIA from public data sources for the next 10 years. This model provides crucial forward-looking insights into CCA cost-competitiveness. In addition, it allows members to quantify the effects of advocacy to lower the PCIA, allowing them to prioritize efforts that “move the needle.”

**Emissions data.** Drove key CCA victories in the CPUC Direct Access decision rejecting further DA expansion. LSE emissions data was used to demonstrate higher emissions from energy service providers that is not in alignment with California's legislative and regulatory goals.

**Data sets.** Created California–wide clearinghouses of multiple datasets for CCAs, crucial for effective media relations and advocacy at the CPUC and Legislature. These datasets include:

- Power Contracts, a CCA–wide database of long-term contracts, allowing for precise and detailed advocacy
- Emissions from all California load–serving entities to benchmark emissions and contracting for renewable resources
- Statistics on equity and environmental justice indicators for CCA customers to guide communications for the Equity Committee and inform positions on affordability, billing and operations, wildfire mitigation, and more
- IRP aggregation, presentations and Data Books to provide quick visualization of CCA generation portfolios
The Data Team is building a detailed Competitive Intelligence Database from public FERC data, allowing for granular insights and predictions into CCA, IOU, and ESP contract prices and trends.

CalCCA is developing in-house electric system modeling capabilities, including running the PLEXOS production cost model, taking the first crucial steps towards quantitative grid reliability and emissions analyses.

CalCCA’s Climate Data Project is using advanced statistical analysis to examine ways that electric systems analysis can accurately incorporate the accelerating effects of climate change. This work answers such important questions as: How will weather change in the next 10 years? How will it affect grid operations? What climate scenarios will have the biggest impact on future energy supply needs?
CalCCA had a successful legislative year despite pandemic limitations on in-person meetings, relationship-building, and many other bread-and-butter legislative activities in the Capitol. Senator Anthony Portantino agreed to author CalCCA’s first-ever sponsored bill, SB 612. Attempting to resolve an important issue in the PCIA proceeding that the CPUC neglected for over a year, the legislative and communications teams refined an extremely complicated issue into a simple lobbying message centered on ratepayer equity.

SB 612 garnered 23 Senate and Assembly co-authors, an 11-1 Senate policy committee vote, and 33 out of 40 votes on the Senate floor. While good policy ultimately succumbed to interhouse politics as SB 612 was refused a hearing in the second house, the CCAs activated and invigorated their vast network of local government and community-based support and showed Sacramento stakeholders and legislators that community choice is a growing force in Sacramento.

Another key focus area for CalCCA was securing language in the state budget to provide financial relief for utility bill arrearages. In May, amid reports that pandemic-related nonpayment of electric bills for all LSEs (POUs, IOUs, and CCAs) had reached over $1.6 billion, Governor Newsom announced that the state budget update would provide $1 billion of financial relief. CalCCA staff worked to ensure CCAs would receive their portion of the allocated funds and, as customers begin to repay outstanding charges, those funds will be distributed fairly. The Legislature incorporated CalCCA’s requested budget language in the state budget trailer bill, AB 135, which was ultimately signed into law on July 16.

CalCCA was also more active in the state budget than in prior years, and worked to prioritize issues that are important to many of our environmental and environmental justice supporters. This year, the Board voted to support more than $2.4 billion in funding for the Governor’s clean energy and climate resilience packages, as well as increased funding for the state’s Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program.

LEG STATS

- 42 bills tracked
- 17 analyses performed by CCA staff
- 15 bill positions adopted by the CalCCA board
- 5 bills supported
2020 LOBBY DAY

*CalCCA Lobby Day took place in March 2020 before social distancing/masking.*
CalCCA faced a communications challenge in 2020-2021 as the pandemic upended in-person events and gatherings including our annual meeting, the premier CCA event in California. We adapted quickly, producing a record number of online events and resources and developing new ways to keep our members, partners and broader community connected and informed. Communications platforms that were put into place over the course of this extraordinary year proved so effective that they will remain in place for the coming year and beyond.

Webinars
As CCAs continue to grow and flourish in California, they are advancing innovative, industry-leading projects and programs. CalCCA launched the monthly Community Energy Innovation (CEI) public webinar series in April 2020 to showcase CCA programs, and to facilitate the sharing of information and best practices within the CCA community and with the public at large. CEI webinars showcase a variety of CCA focus areas, including energy resilience, customer programs, load management, and power procurement. The webinars attract 100-200 attendees representing a broad range of organizations, including operational and soon-to-launch CCAs; CCA-interested communities; local and state elected leaders and their staff; energy agency staff; research/academic institutions; trade/advocacy groups; and many energy sector businesses. Attendees are mostly from California, but also hail from other states and overseas. CalCCA also hosted several internal webinars for members on timely topics including CCA credit ratings, cost-of-service rates, and 24/7 carbon-free energy through the Green Bag webinar series. The CalCCA 101 series, meanwhile, focuses on mission-critical information related to regulatory proceedings. In total, the association hosted 26 webinars in fiscal year 2020-2021.

**PUBLIC WEBINAR STATS**

- 12 webinars
- 1,687 attendees
- 975 views of webinar recordings on YouTube
COMMUNICATIONS

CALCCA INFOHUB
CalCCA continued to build a robust communications and coordination resource for its members through the association’s SharePoint platform. This extensive system allows all members to access a wide range of information related to CCA operations and supports the collaboration of members in collective efforts related to regulatory, legislative, procurement, compliance, billing operations and customer care, and marketing/communications undertakings. Currently, this system has over 300 active members engaging at various levels. The “InfoHub” is an all-access site that was created to facilitate access to a range of useful educational materials and resources for members to utilize in their day-to-day operations.

WEBSITE
CalCCA’s website is a vital go-to resource for anyone who is interested in learning about and tracking CCA in California. The website has the latest news and updates on CCA activities and programs, CalCCA regulatory filings, webinars, media coverage, and myriad data and graphics. New website features over the last year include dedicated pages with information on CCAs’ COVID-19 responses, power procurement, integrated resource plans (IRPs), and resilience initiatives.

WEBSITE TRAFFIC STATS
- 104,558 page views
- 33,265 new users
- 52,616 sessions

PUBLICATIONS
CalCCA’s quarterly newsletter, California Aggregator, is the primary information resource for CCA news and updates and continues to reach broad audiences and grow in readership. The publication has seen many upgrades over the past year including more engaging content, covers, and graphics. Coverage in 2020-2021 focused on key issues including CCAs’ response to COVID-19, resilience initiatives, power procurement, and CCA growth. Our monthly Aggregator email, which contains links to CCA-related news articles, member press releases, job and RFO postings, and event listings, continues to be a popular “one-stop shop” for keeping up with CCA news and information. Our weekly “Clips” email for CalCCA members is another means of keeping everyone in the CCA news loop.

PUBLICATION STATS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Delivered</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Clicks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Aggregator</td>
<td>(quarterly)</td>
<td>30,702</td>
<td>23,943</td>
<td>15,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Aggregator</td>
<td>(monthly)</td>
<td>9,385</td>
<td>8,070</td>
<td>5,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clips</td>
<td>(weekly)</td>
<td>12,653</td>
<td>10,075</td>
<td>5,150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2020-2021 SOCIAL MEDIA STATS

- 2,306 visitors to CalCCA LinkedIn page
- 57,169 impressions
- 236 shares
- 1,476 likes
- 392 new followers

- 815,000 impressions
- 587 retweets
- 1,814 likes
- 341 new followers

- 728 new mailing list subscribers via MailChimp
- 2,687 subscribers total
- 37% increase in subscribers
CalCCA is proud to serve 22 Operational Members who collectively provide energy to more than 11 million customers in California. These members are the backbone of the association and inspire and motivate our work daily. We look forward to adding new CCA members as programs continue to develop and expand across the state.

**Operational Members**

1. Apple Valley Choice Energy  
2. Baldwin Park Resident Owned Utility District  
3. Central Coast Community Energy  
4. Clean Energy Alliance  
5. Clean Power Alliance  
6. CleanPowerSF  
7. Desert Community Energy  
8. East Bay Community Energy  
9. Lancaster Choice Energy  
10. MCE  
11. Peninsula Clean Energy  
12. Pomona Choice Energy  
13. Pico Rivera Innovative Municipal Energy  
14. Pioneer Community Energy  
15. Rancho Mirage Energy Authority  
16. Redwood Coast Energy Authority  
17. San Diego Community Power  
18. San Jacinto Power  
19. San Jose Clean Energy  
20. Silicon Valley Clean Energy  
21. Sonoma Clean Power  
22. Valley Clean Energy

**Affiliate Members**

As CalCCA continues to expand its capacity and reach, we’re pleased to serve our Affiliate Members and continue developing this membership level. Affiliate membership is a free program designed to support emerging CCA communities and is open to government agencies and local jurisdictions exploring the development of a CCA program or in the planning and pre-launch implementation phase of their program.

City of Corona  
City of Hermosa Beach  
Kings River Conservation District  
Butte Choice Energy Authority  
Orange County Power Authority  
Tuolumne County
Partner Program

CalCCA launched a revamped Partner Program in July 2020 given the cancellation of our annual meeting due to the COVID-19 pandemic, and the need to find alternative ways to meet, network, and share ideas with our partners. The new program provides additional opportunities for partners to connect with CalCCA and its members, and to participate in virtual meetings and events including two invitation-only “State of CCA” briefings per year by CCA leadership. We road-tested the new offerings over the past year and were gratified to receive so much positive feedback. The 2021-2022 Partner Program promises to be our best yet as CalCCA moves ahead with plans to host a virtual annual meeting on December 1. All Partners receive an invitation to attend the annual meeting and have access to sponsorship opportunities.

174 Power Global  
3 Phases Renewables  
8minute Solar Energy  
AES Corporation  
AIQUEOUS  
Ascend Analytics  
Avangrid Renewables  
Aypa Power  
Bayshore Consulting Group  
BayWa r.e.  
Bidgely  
Braun Blaising Smith Wynne  
Brookfield Renewable Trading and Marketing LP  
Calpine Corporation  
Calpine Energy Solutions  
Camus Energy  
Center for Sustainable Energy  
CLEAResult  
Clearway Energy Group  
Constellation  
cQuant.io  
Crossover Energy Partners  
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP  
Direct Energy  
Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer & Pembroke, P.C.  
EDF Renewables  
EDP Renewables North America  
EES Consulting  
Enchanted Rock  
ENGIE North America  
Fervo Energy  
Golden State Clean Energy  
Granicus  
GridX  
Idemitsu Renewables  
Keyes & Fox LLP  
LEAN Energy US  
Leap  
Longroad Energy  
Mesa Solutions  
Middle River Power  
MRW & Associates  
Myst AI  
Nexamp  
NextEra Energy Resources  
OhmConnect  
Olivine  
Ormat Technologies  
Ørsted  
Pacific Energy Advisors  
Powerex  
ReneSola Power  
Richards, Watson & Gershon  
River City Bank  
Shell New Energies US  
Siemens  
Skylar Energy Resources  
Solar Energy Industries Association  
Spiegel & McDiarmid LLP  
Stem  
Strata Clean Energy  
Sunrun  
TerraVerde Energy  
Terra-Gen  
The Climate Center  
The Energy Authority  
The Energy Coalition  
Tierra Resource Consultants  
Tosdal APC  
TransAlta  
TRC Companies  
Vitol
The California Community Choice Association’s mission is to cultivate a legislative and regulatory environment that supports the development and long-term sustainability of locally-run Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) electricity providers in California. We serve our members and strengthen our collective voice through education, technical guidance and regulatory and legislative advocacy.